



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/970,969	10/03/2001	Jonathan F. Tait	UOFW117625	3199
26389 75	590 04/05/2004		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE			HUFF, SHEELA JITENDRA	
SUITE 2800	VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			1642	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		9/970,969	TAIT ET AL.				
		caminer	Art Unit				
	SI	neela J Huff	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 March 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in							
closed in accordance with	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-35</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.						
,— ,,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-27</u> is/are allowed.							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) 21,28 and 32 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-31 and 33-35</u>							
8) Claim(s) are subject							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	International Bureau (F						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ng Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 3/11/04 has been considered. Applicant's arguments are deemed to be persuasive-in-part.

Claims 21-35 are pending.

The rejection of claims 36-38 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 339285 or Saino et al US 5591633 is withdrawn in view of their cancellation.

Response to Arguments

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1642

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 21, 28 and 32 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/04294 or Kasina et al US 5968477 in view of Giblin et al Bioconjugate Chem. vol. 8 p. 347 (1997).. The reasons for this rejection are of record in the paper mailed 12/11/03.

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant argues that the claimed invention does not comprise a hexose moiety an NxSy chelating compound or a sulfhydral group for conjugation purposes. Applicant is directed to col.3, lines 10-18 where the conjugate of the invention does not include a hexose. Applicant's invention includes a chelating moiety which reads on NxSy. The sequence X1-gly-X2 reads on the chelating moiety—with the N coming from the Gly and the S coming from the Cys.. The chelating moiety in the reference is used to chelate radiometals, which is the same function in the Giblin reference and in applicant's specification. Thus, the chelating moiety is being used in the same manner. Applicant id directed to col. 3, line 8 where the annexin does not contain a sulfhydral group for conjugation purposes. And even the annexin that does contain such a group is encompassed by applicant's claims because of the terminology "having".

Applicant argues that one skilled in the art of annexins would not look at melantropins. Both references and the instant invention deal with chelating moieties and one skilled in the art and therefore it would be within the purview of one skilled in the art to look at different references discussing chelating moieties.

Claims 21, 28 and 32 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 98/04294 or Kasina et al US 5968477 in view of Dean et al (filed 3/31/95). The reasons for this rejection are of record in the paper mailed 12/11/03.

Applicant's arguments have been addressed above.

Art Unit: 1642

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-27 remain allowed.

Claims 29-31 and 33-35 remain objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner_should_be_directed_to_Sheela_J_Huff_whose_telephone_number_is_571-272-0834. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday 5:30am-11:30am and Fridays 6:00am-4:00pm.

Art Unit: 1642

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheela J Huff
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1642

sjh